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## GONORRHEA

| CRUDE DATA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Cases | 5,733 |
| Annual Incidence ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| LA County | 64.6 |
| California | 57.0 |
| United States | 124.0 |
| Age at Onset |  |
| Mean | 26 |
| Median | 24 |
| Range | $0-96$ |
| Case Fatality |  |
| LA County | N/A |
| United States | N/A |


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cases per 100,000 population.

## ETIOLOGY

Gonococcus: Neisseria gonorrhoeae.

## DISEASE ABSTRACT

The substantial decrease in gonorrhea morbidity characteristic of recent years continued in 1996 (Figures 1 and 2).

## STRATIFIED DATA

Trends: The number and rate of reported gonorrhea cases declined for the eleventh straight year, with a $28 \%$ decrease in incidence betw een 1995 and 1996, from 89 to 64 cases per 100,000 population (Figure 1). The number of cases has fallen $90 \%$ since the peak of the gonorrhea epidemic more than a decade ago in 1985 (59,387

Figure 2
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cases). The County's population increased by approximately $40 \%$ during the same elevenyear period, making this decrease even more compelling. Rates for 1994, 1995, and 1996 have been modified due to a change in criteria for classifying cases by year, leading to a change in cases and rates in these years. However, previous years have not yet been modified.

Seasonality: None.
Age: Adolescents and young adults 15 to 24 years of age comprised approximately half of all reported cases (Table 1). In spite of a decline in incidence from 1995 to 1996 among 15to 19 -year-olds ( $-34 \%$ ) and 20 - to 24 -year-olds ( $-29 \%$ ), the incidence in these two age groups remains much higher than any other age group (230 and 248 cases per 100,000 population, respectively). More importantly, while the general gonorrhea epidemic has subsided, the rates among these age groups remain high (Figure 3). Only the 0 - to 9 -year-old age group increased in rate, although this increase reflects only 18 additional cases.


Sex: The male-to-female rate ratio decreased to $1.2: 1, \mathrm{w}$ ith the rate in males decreasing more than that in females ( $-32 \%$ and $-22 \%$, respectively) from 1995.

Race/Ethnicity: The rates for all racial/ethnic groups decreased in 1996. The decreases for Blacks (29\%) and Whites (25\%) w ere much larger than in Hispanics (7\%) and A sians/Pacific Islanders (4\%). Considering that non-Hispanic Whites constitute $35 \%$ of the population in LAC and Blacks constitute only $9.4 \%$, these data emphasize the truly disproportionate burden
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of disease borne by the Black population. In fact, the rate among Blacks remains over 18 times greater than among Whites and over 12 times greater than among Hispanics. These ratios are not affected when age is controlled (Table 1; Figure 4).

Location: Reflecting ethnic/racial and socioeconomic status differences in gonorrhea incidence, the "core" health districts again accounted for six of the seven districts with highest rates in 1996. All districts declined in incidence except Central. Southeast (-45\%), Bellflow er ( $-44 \%$ ), and Glendale (-43\%) Health Districts had the largest decreases in incidence (Table 2).
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Table 1. Gonorrhea Cases and Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Age Los Angeles County, 1995-1996

|  |  |  |  |  | Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Cases |  | Percent |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | Change <br> in Rate |  |

Race/Ethnicity

| Amr. Indian/Eskimo/Aleut ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 38 | 39 | 4.6 | 4.8 | -4 |
| Black | 2,778 | 4,344 | 438.5 | 620.0 | -29 |
| Hispanic | 1,003 | 1,075 | 33.9 | 36.3 | -7 |
| White | 536 | 764 | 23.2 | 30.8 | -25 |
| Unknow n | 1,378 | 1,583 | -- | -- | - |

Gender

| Male | 3,111 | 4,502 | 70.4 | 103.2 | -32 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 2,621 | 3,301 | 59.0 | 75.2 | -22 |
| Unknow n | 1 | 2 | -- | -- | -- |

## Age Group

| $0-9$ | 36 | 18 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 83 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-14$ | 97 | 117 | 15.5 | 20.6 | -25 |
| $15-19$ | 1,360 | 1,806 | 230.1 | 350.9 | -34 |
| $20-24$ | 1,422 | 1,944 | 247.6 | 349.8 | -29 |
| $25-29$ | 919 | 1,341 | 138.0 | 184.0 | -25 |
| $30-34$ | 745 | 1,066 | 100.0 | 123.8 | -19 |
| $35+$ | 1,048 | 1,321 | 27.1 | 34.2 | -21 |
| Unknow n | 106 | 192 | -- | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{- 2 8}$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{5 , 7 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 2}$ |  |
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## Table 2. Gonorrhea Cases and Rates by Health District Los Angeles County, 1995-1996

| Health District ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Cases |  | Rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Percent Change in Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 |  |
| South ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 452 | 543 | 309.6 | 379.7 | -18 |
| Inglew ood ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 771 | 1,105 | 219.7 | 320.6 | -31 |
| Southwest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 654 | 934 | 200.7 | 290.6 | -31 |
| Compton ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 457 | 712 | 188.2 | 302.8 | -38 |
| Southeast ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 184 | 351 | 133.4 | 241.8 | -45 |
| Hollyw ood-W ilshire | 498 | 673 | 114.4 | 152.8 | -25 |
| Central ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 303 | 315 | 105.6 | 104.2 | 1 |
| Harbor | 72 | 110 | 41.6 | 64.2 | -35 |
| East Valley | 144 | 154 | 40.0 | 45.4 | -12 |
| West | 187 | 217 | 35.3 | 42.9 | -18 |
| Torrance | 126 | 130 | 33.2 | 34.9 | -5 |
| West Valley | 212 | 224 | 32.6 | 35.4 | -8 |
| San Fernando | 177 | 253 | 32.1 | 45.3 | -29 |
| Bellflower | 95 | 161 | 31.2 | 55.6 | -44 |
| Northeast | 96 | 121 | 30.4 | 39.8 | -24 |
| San Antonio | 110 | 116 | 29.1 | 31.6 | -8 |
| Pomona | 134 | 162 | 28.8 | 37.0 | -22 |
| Foothill | 72 | 101 | 27.4 | 39.7 | -31 |
| Whittier | 76 | 83 | 27.3 | 31.4 | -13 |
| East Los Angeles | 43 | 52 | 21.4 | 27.5 | -22 |
| Glendale | 54 | 94 | 19.0 | 33.2 | -43 |
| El Monte | 78 | 104 | 18.9 | 27.0 | -30 |
| Alhambra | 41 | 54 | 12.5 | 17.8 | -30 |
| Unknown District | 697 | 1,036 | -- | -- | -- |
| County Total | 5,733 | 7,805 | 64.6 | 89.2 | -28 |

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cases per 100,000 population. Estimates of race-, gender- and age-specific rates have been adjusted to account for the proportion of cases with missing data by assuming that each sub-category's proportions of the known and unknown cases are equivalent.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of cases for Amer. Indian/Eskimo/Aleut race/ethnicity category is not available.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cases per 100,000 population. Estimates of district-specific rates have been adjusted to account for the proportion with missing data by assuming that each district's proportions of the known and unknown cases are equivalent.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The health district case figures do not reflect the revised boundaries adopted in April 1994.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Core district.

